

Mathematical Finance Mini Exam Answers, Spring B 2021

P. Dybvig

May 12, 2021

This is a closed-book exam: you may not use any books, notes, or electronic devices (calculators, headphones, laptops, etc.), except for the Zoom session for proctoring (camera must be on), reading the exam, asking me questions, and for submitting your exam. Mark your answers on paper and submit pictures of your answer sheets in Canvas.

There are no trick questions on the exam, but you should read the questions carefully.

PLEDGE (required)

The work on this exam will be mine alone, and I will conform with the rules of the exam and the code of conduct of the Olin Business School.

Signed name \_\_\_\_\_

Good luck!

I. Short answer (30 points).

A. State in words the Fundamental Theorem of Asset Pricing (FTAP).

The following are equivalent:

- a. Absence of riskless arbitrage
- b. Existence of a consistent positive linear pricing rule
- c. Existence of a hypothetical agent who prefers more to less and has an optimal choice

B. What is the difference between priced risk in the Intertemporal Capital Asset Pricing Model (ICAPM) and the APT (Arbitrage Pricing Theory)?

In the ICAPM, only risks that are related to future investment opportunities are priced, while in the APT any common risk across securities can be priced.

C. Why is it reasonable to assume that utility functions are strictly concave, and why is this useful?

It is reasonable because strict concavity indicates risk aversion. It is useful because it implies the optimal choice is unique.

II. Bellman equation (40 points) Consider a continuous-time portfolio choice problem with power felicity function  $u(c) = c^{1-R}/(1-R)$  for consumption over an infinite horizon with pure rate of time discount  $\rho$ . There is a constant riskfree rate  $r > 0$  and a single risky asset with constant expected return  $\mu > r$  per unit time and constant local variance  $\sigma^2$  per unit time. The choice problem is

Given  $w_0$  at time 0,

choose adapted  $\theta_s$  and  $w_s$  to

maximize  $E[\int_{s=0}^{\infty} e^{-\rho s} \frac{c_s^{1-R}}{1-R} ds]$

s.t.  $(\forall s)(dw_s = rw_s ds + \theta_s((\mu - r)ds + \sigma dZ_s) - c_s ds)$

$(\forall s)(w_s \geq 0)$

A. Write down the process  $M_t$  for this problem.

$$M_t = \int_{s=0}^t e^{-\rho s} \frac{c_s^{1-R}}{1-R} ds + e^{-\rho t} V(w_t, t)$$

B. What does  $M_t$  represent given the optimal policies for the portfolio and wealth?

the conditional expected utility of the optimal strategy as of time  $t$

What does  $M_t$  represent given an arbitrary policy?

the conditional expected utility at time  $t$  of following the arbitrary policy until time  $t$  and the optimal policy from then on

For  $t > s$ , what is  $E[M_s] - E[M_t]$ ?

the loss in value of the objective function due to mistakes made between time  $s$  and time  $t$

C. Derive the Bellman equation for this problem.

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{E[dM_t]}{e^{-\rho t} dt} &= \frac{c^{1-R}}{1-R} - \rho V + V_w \frac{E[dw]}{dt} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{E[dw^2]}{dt} V_{ww} \\ &= \frac{c^{1-R}}{1-R} - \rho V + (rw + \theta(\mu - r) - c)V_w + \frac{\theta^2 \sigma^2}{2} V_{ww}\end{aligned}$$

The Bellman equation says that the maximum over the controls of  $E[dM_t]$  equals zero, so the Bellman equation is

$$0 = \max_{\theta, c} \left( \frac{c^{1-R}}{1-R} - \rho V + (rw + \theta(\mu - r) - c)V_w + \frac{\theta^2 \sigma^2}{2} V_{ww} \right)$$

D. Solve for optimal  $c_t$  and  $\theta_t$  in terms of derivatives of  $V$ .

The terms that depend on  $c$  are  $c^{1-R}/(1-R)$  and  $-cV_w$ . Differentiating with respect to  $c$ , we have the first-order condition

$$c^{-R} - V_w = 0,$$

and therefore

$$c^* = (V_w)^{-1/R}.$$

The terms that depend on  $\theta$  are  $\theta(\mu - r)V_w$  and  $\theta^2 \sigma^2 V_{ww}/2$ . Differentiating with respect to  $\theta$ , we have the first-order condition

$$(\mu - r)V_w + \theta \sigma^2 V_{ww} = 0,$$

which implies the optimal portfolio is

$$\theta^* = \frac{\mu - r}{\sigma^2} \frac{1}{-V_{ww}/V_w}.$$

E. It is possible to exploit homogeneity to prove that the value function is of the form  $V(w) = vW^{1-R}/(1-R)$  for some constant  $v$ . Use this representation (don't prove!) to solve for optimal consumption and portfolio as a function of wealth and  $v$ .

Given the form of  $V(w_t, t)$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} V &= vw^{1-R}/(1-R) \\ V_w &= vw^{-R} \\ V_{ww} &= -Rvw^{-R-1} \\ -V_{ww}/V_w &= R/w \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$c^* = (V_w)^{-1/R} = (vw^{-R})^{-1/R} = v^{-1/R}w$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \theta^* &= \frac{\mu - r}{\sigma^2} \frac{1}{R/w} \\ &= \frac{\mu - r}{\sigma^2 R} w \end{aligned}$$

III. One-shot approach (30 points) Assume our standard continuous-time model with (1) a single risky asset with constant expected return  $\mu$  and constant local standard deviation of returns  $\sigma$ , and (2) a riskfree asset with constant risk-free rate  $r$ . Recall that the state-price density is  $\xi_t = \exp((-r - \kappa^2/2)t - \kappa Z_t)$ , where  $\kappa = (\mu - r)/\sigma$ . Consider the one-shot choice problem for an agent with initial wealth  $W_0$  and consumption over time with an infinite horizon, felicity function  $u(c_t) = \log(c_t)$ , and pure rate of time discount  $\rho$ .

A. Write down the one-shot choice problem.

Given  $w_0$  at time 0,  
choose adapted  $c_t$  to

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{maximize } E[\int_{t=0}^{\infty} e^{-\rho t} \log(c_t) dt] \\ & \text{st } E[\int_{t=0}^{\infty} \exp((-r - \kappa^2/2)t - \kappa Z_t) c_t] = w_0 \end{aligned}$$

B. Write down the first-order condition for optimal consumption and then write optimal consumption as a function of the state-price density and the Lagrangian multiplier.

$$e^{-\rho t} \frac{1}{c_t} = \lambda \exp((-r - \kappa^2/2)t - \kappa Z_t)$$

$$c_t^* = \frac{1}{\lambda} \exp((r - \rho + \kappa^2/2)t + \kappa Z_t)$$

C. What is the equation we would solve for  $\lambda$ ? (You need not solve it.)

the budget constraint

$$\begin{aligned} w_0 &= E[\int_{t=0}^{\infty} \exp((-r - \kappa^2/2)t - \kappa Z_t) c_t] \\ &= E[\int_{t=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda} \exp(-\rho t)] \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda \rho} \end{aligned}$$

so  $\lambda = 1/(w_0 \rho)$ .

D. What is the equation we would solve for the wealth process  $w_t$ ? (You need not solve it.)

$$w_t = E_t \left[ \int_{s=t}^{\infty} \frac{\xi_s}{\xi_t} c_s ds \right]$$

IV. Challenger (10 bonus points) Consider the standard infinite-horizon problem with fixed coefficients and constant relative risk aversion  $R$  in II above.

For what values of the parameters  $\mu, \sigma > 0, r, R \in (0, 1)$ , and  $\rho > 0$  does the problem have a bounded value? Explain the economics of your result; prove your claim for full credit. (This is hard: don't work on this problem until you have completed and checked everything else.)

Some formulas that might be useful

univariate Itô's lemma:

Let  $dX_t = a_t dt + \sigma_t dZ_t$  where  $Z$  is a standard Wiener process, and let  $f(X, t)$  have continuous partial derivatives  $f_X$ ,  $f_{XX}$ , and  $f_t$ . Then

$$df(X_t, t) = f_X(X_t, t)(a_t dt + \sigma_t dZ_t) + f_t(X_t, t)dt + \frac{\sigma_t^2}{2} f_{XX}(X_t, t)dt.$$

multivariate Itô's lemma:

Let  $H : \mathfrak{R}^d \times [0, T] \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$  with continuous partial derivatives  $H_x(x, t)$ ,  $H_{xx}(x, t)$ , and  $H_t(x, t)$ . Let  $dX_t = g(t)dt + G(t)dZ_t$ , where  $Z_t$  is an  $m$ -dimensional standard Wiener process. Then  $Y_t \equiv H(X_t, t)$  is an Itô process with stochastic differential

$$dY = H_t dt + H_x dX + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(GG' H_{xx}) dt$$

Note: if  $H$  takes values in  $\mathfrak{R}^K$ , we can apply the result elementwise.

Black-Scholes differential equation:

$$0 = -r\mathcal{O} + \mathcal{O}_t + rS\mathcal{O}_S + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} S^2 \mathcal{O}_{SS},$$

State-price density (stochastic discount factor) if markets are complete:

Let security 0 have a riskless mean return  $r$  and any other asset  $n = 1, \dots, N$  has re-invested risky return  $dS_{nt}/S_{nt} = \mu_{nt} dt + \gamma_{nt} dZ_t$ .

$$d\xi = -r dt - (\mu - r\mathbf{1})'(\Gamma')^{-1} dZ_t$$

where

$$\Gamma = (\gamma_1 | \gamma_2 | \dots | \gamma_N)'$$

Univariate state-price density:

$$d\xi_t/\xi_t = -r dt - \kappa dZ_t,$$

where  $\kappa \equiv (\mu - r)/\sigma$ , and with constant coefficients and taking  $\xi_0 = 1$  wlog, we have

$$\xi_t = \xi_0 \exp((-r - \kappa^2/2)t - \kappa Z_t),$$

Normal moment generating function:

If  $x \sim N(m, s)$ ,  $E[e^x] = e^{m+s^2/2}$

Arrow-Pratt coefficient of absolute risk aversion:

$$\frac{-u''(c)}{u'(c)}$$

Arrow-Pratt coefficient of relative risk aversion:

$$\frac{-cu''(c)}{u'(c)}$$

Constant Absolute Risk Aversion (CARA) utility with risk aversion  $A > 0$ :

$$u(c) = -\frac{\exp(-Ac)}{A}$$

Constant Relative Risk Aversion (CRRA) utility with risk aversion  $R > 0$ :

$$u(c) = \begin{cases} \frac{c^{1-R}}{1-R} & \text{for } R \neq 1 \\ \log(c) & \text{for } R = 1 \end{cases}$$

Kuhn-Tucker conditions:

For the optimization model



Choose  $x \in \mathfrak{R}^N$  to  
maximize  $f(x)$   
subject to  $(\forall i \in \mathcal{E})g_i(x) = 0$ , and  
 $(\forall i \in \mathcal{I})g_i(x) \leq 0$ ,

the Kuhn-Tucker conditions are

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla f(x^*) &= \sum_{i \in \mathcal{E}} \lambda_i \nabla g_i(x^*) \\ (\forall i \in \mathcal{I}) \lambda_i &\geq 0 \\ \lambda_i g_i(x^*) &= 0\end{aligned}$$