

Problem Set 3: Homotheticity and Bellman Equation
 FIN 539 Mathematical Finance
 P. Dybvig

1. **Homotheticity** Consider the HARA (Hyperbolic Absolute Risk Aversion) felicity (or utility) function $u(c) = (c - \underline{c})^{1-R}/(1-R)$, where \underline{c} is the subsistence consumption (the minimal consumption needed to survive) and $R > 0$, $R \neq 1$, is the relative risk aversion for the increase of consumption above the subsistence level. Then we will study the following optimization problem:

Given w_0 ,
 choose portfolio θ_t , consumption c_t , and wealth w_t to
 maximize $E[\int_{t=0}^{\infty} e^{-\rho t} u(c_t) dt]$ (expected utility of lifetime consumption)
 subject to:
 $dw_t = rw_t dt + \theta_t((\mu - r)dt + \sigma dZ_t) - c_t dt$ (budget constraint)
 $(\exists K \in \mathfrak{R})(\forall t) w_t \geq -K$ (limited borrowing)

Prove that the value function for this problem is of the form $V(w) \equiv (w - \underline{c}/r)^{1-R} v$ for some constant v . Assume that $w_0 > \underline{c}/r$ so there is enough wealth to pay for subsistence consumption with something left over. (Hint: note that wealth of \underline{c}/r can be thought of as being committed to funding the subsistence consumption \underline{c} so “free” or “discretionary” wealth at t is $w_t - \underline{c}$. Define new choice variables: portfolio choice $\hat{\theta} \equiv \theta_t/(w_0 - \underline{c}/r)$ normalized by initial free wealth, consumption $\hat{c}_t \equiv (c_t - \underline{c})/(w_0 - \underline{c}/r)$ in excess of the subsistence consumption, normalized by initial free wealth, and free wealth $\hat{w}_t \equiv (w_t - \underline{c}/r)/(w_0 - \underline{c}/r)$ normalized by initial free wealth.)

Define $\hat{\theta} \equiv \theta_t/(w_0 - \underline{c}/r)$, $\hat{c}_t \equiv (c_t - \underline{c})/(w_0 - \underline{c}/r)$, and $\hat{w}_t \equiv (w_t - \underline{c}/r)/(w_0 - \underline{c}/r)$, and let $\hat{K} \equiv (K + \underline{c}/r)/(w_0 - \underline{c}/r)$. Then we can rewrite the choice problem as:

Given w_0 ,
 choose $\hat{\theta}_t$, \hat{c}_t , and \hat{w}_t to
 maximize $(w_0 - \underline{c}/r)^{1-R} E[\int_{t=0}^{\infty} e^{-\rho t} \frac{\hat{c}_t^{1-R}}{1-R} dt]$
 subject to:
 $d\hat{w}_t = r\hat{w}_t dt + \hat{\theta}_t((\mu - r)dt + \sigma dZ_t) - \hat{c}_t dt$
 $(\exists \hat{K} \in \mathfrak{R})(\forall t) \hat{w}_t \geq -\hat{K}$.

Note that w_0 does not appear except in the positive factor $(w_0 - \underline{c}/r)^{1-R}$ multiplying the objective function. Therefore, this factor does not affect the optimal choice but only multiplies the value by this factor. Therefore, the value of the problem is $(w_0 - \underline{c}/r)^{1-R}v$, where v is the optimized value of $E[\int_{t=0}^{\infty} e^{-\rho t} \frac{\underline{c}_t^{1-R}}{1-R} dt]$, which is the value of the problem when $(w_0 - \underline{c}/r)^{1-R} = 1$.

2. **Bellman Equation** Consider the optimization problem in Problem 1. (Note: this problem can be solved even if you did not solve Problem 1.)

A. Write down the martingale M_t for this problem.

$$M_t \equiv \int_{s=0}^t e^{-\rho s} \frac{(c_s - \underline{c})^{1-R}}{1-R} ds + e^{-\rho t} V(w_t)$$

B. What does M_t represent given the optimal policies for portfolio, consumption, and wealth?

the conditional expectation at t of the integral defining the objective function if we always follow the optimal policies

What does M_t represent given suboptimal policies?

the conditional expectation at t of the integral defining the objective function if we follow the suboptimal policies until t and the optimal policies from then on

For $t > s$, what is $E[M_s] - E[M_t]$?

the loss, in units of change of the objective function, from following this policy from time s to time t , compared with following the optimal policy. The loss is 0 for the optimal policy.

C. Derive the Bellman equation for this problem.

$$\begin{aligned} dM_t &= e^{-\rho t} \frac{(c_t - \underline{c})^{1-R}}{1-R} dt - \rho e^{-\rho t} V(w_t) dt + e^{-\rho t} V'(w_t) dw_t \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} e^{-\rho t} V''(w_t) (dw_t)^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= e^{-\rho t} \left(\frac{(c_t - \underline{c})^{1-R}}{1-R} - \rho V(w_t) + (rw_t + \theta_t(\mu - r) - c_t)V'(w_t) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2}V''(w_t)\theta_t^2\sigma^2 \right) dt + V'(w_t)\theta_t\sigma dZ_t
\end{aligned}$$

Now the drift $E[dM]/dt$ is zero for the optimal strategy and zero or negative for all strategies. Therefore, the maximum drift over all choices of c_t and θ_t is equal to zero, which is the Bellman equation.

$$\max_{c, \theta} \left(\frac{(c - \underline{c})^{1-R}}{1-R} - \rho V + (rw + \theta(\mu - r) - c)V' + \frac{\theta^2\sigma^2}{2}V'' \right) = 0$$

D. Solve for optimal c_t and θ_t in terms of derivatives of V , and substitute the optimized values into the Bellman equation.

FOC for c :

$$(c - \underline{c})^{-R} - V' = 0$$

so

$$c^* = \underline{c} + (V')^{-1/R}$$

FOC for θ :

$$(\mu - r)V' + \theta\sigma^2V'' = 0$$

so

$$\theta^* = -\frac{\mu - r}{\sigma^2} \frac{V'}{V''}$$

Optimized Bellman equation:

$$\frac{R(V')^{1-1/R}}{1-R} - \rho V + r(w - \underline{c}/r)V' - \frac{(\mu - r)^2 (V')^2}{2\sigma^2 V''} = 0$$

E. From Problem 1, we can write the value function in the form $V(w) = \frac{k}{1-R}(w - \underline{c}/r)^{1-R}$, where $k = (1-R)v$. Using this formula, solve for the optimal c_t and θ_t in terms of w_t and the parameters.

$$V(w) = \frac{k}{1-R}(w - \underline{c}/r)^{1-R}$$

$$V'(w) = k(w - \underline{c}/r)^{-R}$$

$$V''(w) = -Rk(w - \underline{c}/r)^{-R-1}$$

$$c^* = \underline{c} + (V')^{-1/R} = \underline{c} + (w - \underline{c}/r)k^{-1/R}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \theta^* &= -\frac{\mu - r}{\sigma^2} \frac{V'}{V''} = -\frac{\mu - r}{\sigma^2} \frac{(w - \underline{c}/r)^{-R}k}{-R(w - \underline{c}/r)^{-R-1}k} \\ &= \frac{\mu - r}{\sigma^2 R}(w - \underline{c}/r) \end{aligned}$$

F. Solve the Bellman equation for k .

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{R(V')^{1-1/R}}{1-R} - \rho V + r(w - \underline{c}/r)V' - \frac{(\mu - r)^2 (V')^2}{2\sigma^2 V''} \\ &= \frac{(w - \underline{c}/r)^{1-R}k}{1-R} \left(Rk^{-1/R} - \rho + (1-R)r + \frac{(\mu - r)^2}{2\sigma^2} \frac{1-R}{R} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$k = \left(\frac{\rho}{R} + \left(1 - \frac{1}{R}\right)r + \left(1 - \frac{1}{R}\right) \frac{(\mu - r)^2}{2\sigma^2 R} \right)^{-R}$$