Problem Set 4: Multi-asset portfolio problem FIN 539 Mathematical Finance P. Dybvig

1. Homotheticity Consider the log felicity (or utility) function  $u(c) = \log(c)$ . Then we will study variations of the following multi-asset optimization problem:

Given  $w_0$ ,

choose adapted risky asset proportions  $\theta_t$ , consumption  $c_t$ , and wealth  $w_t$ , to maximize  $E[\int_{t=0}^{\infty} e^{-\rho t} u(c_t) dt]$  (expected utility of lifetime consumption) subject to:  $dw_t = rw_t dt + w_t \theta_t'((\mu - r\mathbf{1})dt + \Gamma dZ_t) - c_t dt$  (budget constraint)  $w_t \ge 0$  (no borrowing)

The choice variables are three processes: the vector of risky asset proportions  $\theta_t \in \Re^N$ , real-valued consumption  $c_t$ , and real-valued wealth  $w_t$ . The constant  $\rho$  is the pure rate of time discount, the constant r is the instantaneous riskfree rate of interest,  $\mu \in \Re^N$  is the constant vector of mean risky asset returns,  $\Gamma$  is the constant  $N \times k$  matrix of loadings of the returns on the different risks, and **1** is the *N*-vector of 1's. Assume the local covariance  $\Gamma\Gamma'$  of returns is positive definite, and that there is at least one asset n with  $\mu_n > r$ .

A. Show that the form of the value function for this problem is  $V(w) = v + \log(w)/\rho$  for some constant v.

B. Does the result in part A hold (perhaps for a different constant v) if we add the constraint

 $(\forall i, t) (0 \le \theta_{it} \le K_i)$ 

where each  $K_i > 0$  is a given constant? Explain why or why not. (If not, it suffices to show where the usual argument breaks down.)

C. Does the result in part A hold (perhaps for a different constant v) if we

add the constraint

$$(\forall t)(0 \le \theta_t \le w_t K)$$

where K > 0 is a given constant. Explain why or why not. (If not, it suffices to show that the usual argument breaks down.)

2. Bellman Equation Consider the optimization problem in Problem 1, without either constraint described in Part B or Part C. (Note: this problem can be solved even if you did not solve Problem 1.)

A. Write down the process  $M_t$  for this problem.

B. What does  $M_t$  represent given the optimal policies for portfolio, consumption, and wealth? What does  $M_t$  represent given suboptimal policies? For t < s, what is  $E[M_t] - E[M_s]$ ?

C. Derive the Bellman equation for this problem.

D. Solve for optimal  $c_t$  and  $\theta_t$  in terms of derivatives of V.

E. From Problem 1, we can write the value function in the form  $V(w) = v + \log(w)/\rho$ . Using this formula, solve for the optimal  $c_t$  and  $\theta_t$  in terms of  $w_t$  and the parameters.

F. Substitute the optimal portfolio and consumption policies into the Bellman equation, and solve the optimized Bellman equation for v.